arXiv:hep-th/9812068v1 8 Dec 1998

CK-TH-98-003

December 1998

The Master Equation for the Prepotential

Christos Kokorelis[1]

Centre of Theoretical Physics

Sussex University

Falmer, Brighton, BN1 9QH, United Kingdom

Abstract

The perturbative prepotential and the Kähler metric of the vector multiplets of the N=2 effective low-energy heterotic strings is calculated directly in $N = 1$ six-dimensional toroidal compactifications of the heterotic string vacua. This method provides the solution for the one loop correction to the N=2 vector multiplet prepotential for compactifications of the heterotic string for any rank three and four models, as well for compactifications on $K_3 \times T^2$. In addition, we complete previous calculations, derived from string amplitudes, by deriving the differential equation for the third derivative of the prepotential with respect of the usual complex structure U moduli of the T^2 torus. Moreover, we calculate the one loop prepotential, using its modular properties, for N=2 compactifications of the heterotic string exhibiting modular groups similar with those appearing in N=2 sectors of N=1 orbifolds based on non-decomposable torus lattices and on N=2 supersymmetric Yang-Mills.

[1]E–mail: c.kokorelis@sussex.ac.uk

1. Introduction

One of the most important aspects of string dualities involves comparisons of the effective actions between $N = 2$ compactifications of the ten dimensional heterotic string to lower dimensions and type II superstrings. A key future for testing these dualities is the use of prepotential, which describes the $N = 2$ effective low energy theory of vector multiplets in a general supergravity theory. Guidance in working, with the vector multiplet heterotic prepotential at the string theory level, comes from similar results from $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills [1]. At the level of $N = 2$ supersymmetric $SU(r+1)$ Yang-Mills the quantum moduli space was associated [2] with a particular genus r Riemann surface parametrized by r complex moduli and 2r periods (α_{D_i}, α).

In this work we are interested, among the web of dualities, only in the proposal of [21, 22] which provided evidence for the exact nonperturbative equivalence of dual pairs, on the one side of the heterotic string compactified on $K_3 \times T_2$ and on the other side of the IIA compactified on a Calabi-Yau threefold. The proposal identifies the moduli spaces of heterotic string and its dual IIA as $\mathcal{M}_V^{heterotic} = \mathcal{M}_V^{IIA}$ and $\mathcal{M}_H^{heterotic} = \mathcal{M}_H^{IIA}$, where the subscripts refer to vector multiplets and hypermultiplets respectively. In other words, for models which are dual pairs, the exact prepotential for the vector multiplets, including perturbative and non-perturbative corrections is calculated from the IIA side, where the tree level result is exact. On the contrary, if we want to calculate the exact hypermultiplet superpotential this is calculated from the heterotic string on $K_3 \times T^2$. This is exactly Strominger's proposal that the absence of neutral perturbative couplings between vector multiplets and hypermultiplets survives nonperturbative string effects. In this sence the complete prepotentials for the vector multiplets for the two "different" theories match, including perturbative and non-perturbative corrections, and $\mathcal{F}^{het} = \mathcal{F}^{IIA}$.

Compactification of the heterotic string on $K_3 \times T_2$ and of type IIA on a Calabi-Yau threefold produce models with N=2 supersymmetry in four dimensions. These models have been tested to be dual to each other, at the level of effective theories, and for low numbers of $h^{(1,1)}$ vector multiplet moduli [21]. By using mirror symmetry, we can map the vector multiplet sector of IIA to its mirror type IIB $h^{(2,1)}$ complex structure moduli space[1].

[1] Application of the mirror symmetry on the type IIB interchanges three-cycles with two cycles and

The procedure then concentrates in the comparison of the complex structure moduli [49] effective theories, of type IIB, with $N = 2$ heterotic compactifications of rank three or four models [21, 51]. In this sence, in type IIB the result for the effective gauge coupling is exact to all orders of perturbation theory, since the dilaton in type IIB belongs to the hypermultiplet sector and there are no couplings allowed between [58] vector multiplets and hypermultiplets.

The paper is organized as follows. In section two we calculate the one loop correction to the perturbative prepotential of the vector multiplets for the heterotic string compactified on a six dimensional orbifold. It comes from the general solution from the one loop Kähler metric [28, 5]. Furthermore, we *establish a general procedure* for calculating one loop corrections to the one loop prepotential, not only for $N = 1$ six dimensional heterotic strings toroidally compactified on four dimensions, which has important implications for any compactification of the heterotic string in four dimensions having(or not) a type II dual. This procedure is an alternative way to the calculation of the prepotential which was performed indirectly in [18] via the effective gauge couplings. We will calculate directly the integral representation of $N = 2$ vector multiplet prepotential of toroidal compactifications of the heterotic string[2], continuing the work of [5, 27, 28]. The one loop Kähler metric in the moduli space of vector multiplets of toroidal compactifications of $N = 1$ six dimensional orbifold compactifications [29, 40] of the heterotic string follows directly from this result. Automatically this calculates one-loop corrections to the Kähler metric for the moduli of the usual vector multiplet T, U moduli fields of the T^2 torus appearing in $N = 2$ $(4, 4)$ compactifications of the heterotic string. The calculation on the quantum moduli space takes into consideration points of enhanced gauge symmetry.

In addition our procedure is complementary to [28] since we calculate, contrary to [28] where the third derivative of the prepotential with respect to the T moduli was calculated, the third derivative of the prepotential with respect to the complex structure U moduli. The logarithmic singularity, consequence of the gauge symmetry enhancement at a specific

three-branes with two branes.

[2]However, due to factorization properties of the T^2 subspace of the heterotic Narain lattice and instanton embedding independence into the gauge bundle over K_3, the same result can be applied to any heterotic string compactification on $K_3 \times T^2$ for any rank four models.

point in the moduli space do appear in the prepotential and the Kähler metric.

In section three we describe our results for the calculation of the heterotic prepotential in the case of toroidal compactifications of N=1 six dimensional orbifold compactification of the heterotic string, where the underlying torus lattice does not "decompose" as $T^2 \oplus T^4$, the T^4 could be an orbifold limit of K_3. The moduli of the unrotated complex plane, e.g T^2 with a shift, has a modular symmetry group that is a subgroup of $SL(2,Z)$. In particular, we consider this modular symmetry, e.g $\Gamma^0(3)$, to be one of those appearing in $N = 2$ sectors of non-decomposable $N = 1$ orbifold compactifications [7, 6]. The calculation of the prepotential is based on the use of its modular properties under the action of $\Gamma^0(3)_T \times \Gamma^0(3)_U$ modular group.

In section four we describe our results for the $N = 2$ prepotential of any rank three compactifications of the heterotic string. A general result [36] concerning the geometry, local issues, behind the existence of heterotic duals, is that existence of the weakly coupled heterotic string compactifications on the $K_3 \times T^2$ is allowed only when its type IIA dual is compactified on a Calabi-Yau manifold which can be written in turn as a fibre bundle with base P^1 and generic fiber the K_3 surface [32]. At the weak heterotic limit the base P^1 goes to infinite size. We apply the *general procedure* for calculating the one loop heterotic prepotential in a certain rank three heterotic model [12] which has a type II dual compactified on a Calabi-Yau at a specific limit. The Calabi-Yau models incorporate the K_3 fiber structure [32] of the type II dual realization.

2. One loop correction to the prepotential from string amplitudes/ Kähler metric

Preliminaries - Rank four models

The one-loop Kähler metric for orbifold compactifications of the heterotic string, where the internal six torus decomposes into $T^2 \oplus T^4$, was calculated in [5]. In this section, we will use the general form of the solution for the one loop Kähler metric appearing in [5, 28] to calculate the one loop correction to the prepotential of N=2 orbifold compactifications of the heterotic string. While the one loop prepotential has been calculated with the use

of string amplitudes in [28]) via its third derivative with respect to the T moduli, here we will provide an alternative way of calculating the one-loop correction [27, 28] to the prepotential of the vector multiplets of the N=2 orbifold compactifications of the heterotic string. For the calculation of the one-loop contribution to the Kähler metric we use the linear multiplet formulation [52, 53, 5] and not the chiral formulation. Note that both formulations are equivalent, since the linear multiplet can always be transformed in to a chiral multiplet by a supersymmetric duality transformation.

Lets us suppose that the internal six dimensional lattice decomposes into $T^2 \oplus T^4$. The T^4 part of the lattice, may represent an orbifold limit[50, 29] of K_3 while the T^2 part, which contains the usual T, U moduli may contain[3] a lattice shift, necessary for modular invariance. In this subspace of the Narain moduli space, we want to calculate the moduli dependence of the one loop correction to the prepotential. Denote the untwisted moduli from a $N = 2$ sector by P, where P can be the T or U moduli parametrizing [3] the two dimensional unrotated plane. Then the one loop contribution [5] to the Kähler metric is given by

$$G^{(1)}_{P\,\bar{P}} = -\frac{1}{(P-\bar{P})^2}\mathcal{I}, \quad \mathcal{I} = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^2}\partial_{\bar{\tau}}(\tau_2 Z)\bar{F}(\bar{\tau}). \tag{2.1}$$

Here, the integral is over the fundamental domain, and the factor $-\frac{1}{(P-\bar{P})^2}$ is the tree level moduli metric $G^{(0)}_{P\,\bar{P}}$. Z is the partition function of the fixed torus

$$Z = \sum_{(P_L,P_R)\in\Gamma_{2,2}} q^{P_L^2/2}\bar{q}^{P_R^2/2},\ q \equiv e^{2\pi\tau}\ \tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2, \tag{2.2}$$

and P_L, P_R are the left and right moving momenta associated with this plane. $F(\tau)$ is a moduli independent meromorphic form[4] of weight -2 with a single pole at infinity due to the tachyon at the bosonic sector. The function F was fixed in [28] to be

$$F(\tau) = -(1/\pi)\frac{j(\tau)[j(\tau)-j(i)]}{j_\tau(\tau)}, \qquad j_\tau \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{\partial j(\tau)}{\partial\tau}, \tag{2.3}$$

where j the modular function for the group $SL(2,Z)$. The function $F(\tau)$ is actually the index in the Ramond sector in the in the remaining superconformal blocks. With the use

[3]As it happens in $N = 2$ $(4,0)$ models [28, 29].

[4]A function f is meromorphic at a point A if the function h, $h(z) \stackrel{def}{=} (z-A)f(z)$ is holomorphic (differentiable) at the point A. In general, this means that the function h is allowed to have poles.

of the relations between E_4, E_6, $\triangle$ and j, appearing in our appendix, we can easily see that F becomes

$$F(\tau) = -2i\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{E_4(\tau)E_6(\tau)}{\triangle(\tau)}. \tag{2.4}$$

In the above form the index will be used to perform a test on different forms of the prepotential as it appears in [28] and [18].

Prepotential of vector multiplets/Kähler metric

The convention for the complex dilaton is $< S >= \frac{\theta}{\pi} + i\frac{8\pi}{g_s^2}$, where g_s is the four dimensional string coupling and the θ angle. For the calculation of the prepotential of the vector multiplets we will will follow the approach of [28]. The space of scalar fields belonging to the vector multiplet part of the effective low energy theory of $N = 2$ heterotic string compactifications on the $K_3 \times T^2$ in four dimensions is described by special geometry [56, 58]. Part of the spectrum in four dimensions contains the dilaton and the T, U moduli of the T^2 torus all belonging to vector multiplets. The general form of the Kähler potential reads

$$K = -\ln(iY),\ Y = 2(F-\bar{F}) - (T-\bar{T})((F_T+\bar{F}_{\bar{T}}) - (U-\bar{U})((F_U+\bar{F}_{\bar{u}}) - (S-\bar{S})(F_S+\bar{F}_{\bar{S}}), \tag{2.5}$$

$$F = STU + f(T,U) + f^{non-pert}, \tag{2.6}$$

with f, the one loop correction to the prepotential F,S the dilaton, and f^{NP}, the non-perturbative contribution to F. The latter will be neglected in the following as we consider the calculation of the prepotential at the semiclassical limit $S \to \infty$. In the linear multiplet the Kähler potential may be used in the following form as

$$K = -\ln\{i(S-\bar{S}) - 2iG^{(1)}\} + G^{(o)}, \tag{2.7}$$

where the quantity $G^{(1)}$ is identified with the Green-Schwarz term at the semiclassical weak coupling limit $S \to \infty$ and $G^{(o)}$ the dilaton independent part. Expanding (2.7)

$$K^{(1)}_{P\bar{P}} = \frac{2i}{(S-\bar{S})}G^{(1)}_{P\bar{P}}, \quad G^{(1)}_{T\,\bar{T}} = \frac{i}{2(T-\bar{T})^2}\left(\partial_T - \frac{2}{T-\bar{T}}\right)\left(\partial_U - \frac{2}{U-\bar{U}}\right)f + c.c \tag{2.8}$$

and using the equations for the momenta

$$p_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2ImTImU}}(m_1+m_2\bar{U}+n_1\bar{T}+n_2\bar{U}\bar{T}),\ p_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2ImTImU}}(m_1+m_2\bar{U}+n_1T+n_2T\bar{U}), \tag{2.9}$$

we can prove that $\mathcal{I}$ has the following general solution away of the enhanced symmetry points[28]

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2i}(\partial_T - \frac{2}{T-\bar{T}})(\partial_U - \frac{2}{U-\bar{U}})f(T,U) + c.c. \tag{2.10}$$

The function f represents the one-loop correction to the prepotential of the vector multiplets T, U and determines via eqn.(2.8) the one loop correction to the Kähler metric for the T, U moduli. While f_{TTT} was calculated for $N = 2$ compactifications of the heterotic string in $D = 4$ through its modular properties [27] and from string amplitudes [28], f could only be calculated indirectly [18]. Note that up to now the only way of calculating f for heterotic string compactifications was indirectly[18], through the one loop corrections to the Wilsonian gauge couplings [20] coming from the following equation

$$\partial_U \partial_{\bar{U}} \triangle = b K^{(o)}_{U\bar{U}} + 4\pi^2 K^{(1)}_{U\bar{U}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Here, $\triangle$ is given by [44, 9] is the loop threshold correction to the gauge coupling constants. In addition, $K^{(o)}_{U\bar{U}}$ is the tree level Kähler metric [5, 18] $-1/(U-\bar{U})^2$ and $K^{(1)}_{U\bar{U}}$ is the one loop Kähler metric given by

$$K^{(1)}_{U\bar{U}} = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2} \partial_U \partial_{\bar{U}} \left(\sum_{BPS\ hypermultiplets} - \sum_{BPS\ vector\ multiplets} \right) e^{i\pi\tau M_L^2} e^{-i\pi\bar{\tau} M_R^2}, \tag{2.12}$$

where M_L, M_R are the masses for the left and right movers.

For N=2 heterotic strings compactified on decomposable orbifolds f_{TTT} is given by [28]

$$f_{TTT} = -\frac{2i}{\pi} \frac{j_T(T)}{j(T)-j(U)} \left\{ \frac{j(U)}{j(T)} \right\} \left\{ \frac{j_T(T)}{j_U(U)} \right\} \left\{ \frac{j(U)-j(i)}{j(T)-j(i)} \right\}. \tag{2.13}$$

In [27, 28] f_{TTT} was determined by the property of behaving as a meromorphic modular form of weight 4 under T-duality. In addition, f_{TTT} had to vanish at the order 2 fixed point U=i and the order 3 fixed point U=ρ of the modular group $SL(2,Z)$. Moreover, it had to transform with modular weight -2 under $SL(2,Z)_U$ transformations and exhibit a singularity at the T=U line. Here, we will complete this picture by giving more details of the calculation of f_{TTT}. Lets us denote the function with modular weight 4 under T-duality $F^{(4)}(T)$ and the function with modular weight -2 under U-duality and the singularity at T=U by $F^{(-2)}(U)$. Then we must have

$$F^{(4)}(T) = \frac{j_T^2(T)}{j(T)(j(T)-j(i))}, \quad and \quad F^{(-2)}(U) = \frac{j(U)(j(U)-j(i))}{j_U(U)(j(U)-j(T))}. \tag{2.14}$$

As we can regognize $F^{(4)}(T)$ is the $E_4(T)$ function which is part of the basis of the modular forms for the group $SL(2,Z)$. Moreover, $F^{(-2)}(U)$ can be rewritten in turn as

$$F^{(-2)} = \frac{1}{j(T)-j(U)}\{-\frac{j_U^2(U)}{j(U)(j(U)-j(i))}\}\frac{j_U^3(U)}{j(U)^2(j(U)-j(i))}(\frac{j_U^6}{j^4(j(U)-j(i))^3})^{-1}. \tag{2.15}$$

The terms appearing in eqn.(2.15) represent

$$F^{(-2)}(U) = const.\ \{\frac{1}{j(T)-j(U)}\}\ E_4(U)\ E_6(U)\eta^{-24}(U), \tag{2.16}$$

where the standard theorems of modular forms predict

$$E_4(U) \propto \frac{-j_U^2(U)}{j(j(U)-j(i))},\ E_6(U) \propto \frac{J_U^3(U)}{j^2(U)(j(U)-j(i))},\ \eta^{24} \propto \frac{j_U^6(U)}{j^4(U)(j(U)-j(i))}. \tag{2.17}$$

The function E_4 has a zero at T=ρ, and E_6 has a zero at T=1. In addition, $\eta(T)$ is the well known cusp form the Dedekind function. It has a zero at T=∞. Examination of the integral representation of behaviour of f_{TTT} near the point T=U, shows that it has a single pole with residue $\frac{-2i}{\pi}$. This therefore fixes the numerical coefficient in front of $F^{(-2)}(T) \times F^{(4)}(U)$. Together with $F^{-2}(U)$, $F^4(T)$, we get the correct result eqn.(2.13).

The prepotential f_{UUU}

The prepotential function for the f_{UUU} can be obtained by the replacement $T \leftrightarrow U$ but we prefer to find it from the equation for f_{UUU}. By taking appropriate derivatives on (2.10) we find

$$\partial_U^3 f = f_{UUU} = -i(T-\bar{T})^2\partial_{\bar{T}}D_U\partial_U(\mathcal{I})_{holomorphic}, \tag{2.18}$$

or equivalently

$$\partial_U^3 f = i(T-\bar{T})^2\partial_T D_U\partial_U(\mathcal{I})_{holomorphic}. \tag{2.19}$$

Here $D_U = \partial_U + \frac{2}{U-\bar{U}}$, the covariant derivative with respect to U variable. It transforms with modular weight 2 under $SL(2,Z)_U$ modular transformations, namely

$$U \overset{SL(2,Z)_U}{\rightarrow} \frac{aU+b}{cU+d}, \quad D_U \rightarrow (cU+d)^2 D_U. \tag{2.20}$$

We should notice here, that because of the exchange symmetry $T \leftrightarrow U$, the result for f_{UUU} may come directly from (2.13), by the replacement $T \rightarrow U$. However, this can be confirmed by the solution of (2.18). Note that the equation for f_{UUU} comes from the

derivative action on the holomorphic part of the Kähler metric. By using the explicit form of the expression (2.1) and the values of the lattice momenta (2.9), we evaluate the right hand side of (2.18) as

$$f_{UUU} = 8\pi^2 \frac{(T-\bar{T})}{(U-\bar{U})^2} \int \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^2} \bar{F}(\bar{\tau}) \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \left(\tau_2^2 \partial_\tau (\tau_2^2 \sum_{P_L,P_R} P_L P_R P_R P_R e^{\pi i \tau |P_L|^2} e^{-\pi i \bar{\tau} |P_R|^2}) \right). \quad (2.21)$$

Further integration by parts, with the boundary term vanishing away from the enhanced symmetry points, gives

$$f_{UUU} = 4\pi^2 \frac{(T-\bar{T})}{(U-\bar{U})^2} \int \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^2} \bar{F}(\bar{\tau}) \sum_{P_L,P_R} P_L P_R P_R P_R e^{\pi i \tau |P_L|^2} e^{-\pi i \bar{\tau} |P_R|^2}. \quad (2.22)$$

We must keep in mind that, after performing the integration in (2.21, 2.22), to keep only the holomorphic part of the integration and not its complex conjugate. Using now, the modular transformations of the momenta

$$(P_L, \bar{P}_R) \overset{SL(2,Z)_T}{\to} \left(\frac{cT+d}{c\bar{T}+d} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (P_L, \bar{P}_R), \quad (P_L, \bar{P}_R) \overset{SL(2,Z)_U}{\to} \left(\frac{cU+d}{c\bar{U}+d} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (P_L, \bar{P}_R), \quad (2.23)$$

we can see that f_{UUU} transforms correctly as it should, that is modular weight 4 under $SL(2,Z)_U$ and -2 under $SL(2,Z)_T$. In addition, we can observe, in analogy with f_{TTT}, that (2.22) possesses a simple pole at $T = U$. The f_{UUU} function has similar modular properties, equivalent under the exchange monodromy transformation symmetry of f, and singularity structure as f_{TTT}.

As a result, f_{UUU} takes the form

$$f_{UUU} = \frac{(j(T) - j(i))j(T)}{j_T(T)(j(U) - j(T))} \Psi(U), \quad (2.24)$$

with $\Psi(U)$ a meromorphic modular form of weight 4 in U. The T dependent part of f_{UUU} is a meromorphic modular form of weight -2 and has a singularity at the point $T = U$.

From the integral representation of f_{UUU}, eqn.(2.21) we can see that at the limit $T \to \infty$, $f_{UUU} \to 0$, which means that $\Psi(U)$ is holomorphic anywhere. Finally, we get

$$f_{UUU} = -\frac{2i}{\pi} \frac{(j(T) - j(i))j(T)}{j_T(T)(j(U) - j(T))} \frac{j_U^2(U)}{j(U) - j(i)} \frac{1}{j(U)}. \quad (2.25)$$

Lets us check the behaviour of (2.25) away and at the fixed points. Away form the fixed points, e.g when $U \to \hat{T} = \frac{aT+b}{cT+d}$, f_{UUU} exhibits a singularity

$$f_{UUU} \to -\frac{2i}{\pi} \frac{1}{U - \hat{T}} (cT + d)^2, \quad (2.26)$$

such that the one loop Kähler metric $G^{(1)}_{U\bar{U}}$ behaves exactly as expected[5], namely

$$G^{(1)}_{U\bar{U}} \to \frac{1}{\pi} \ln |U - \hat{T}|^2 G^{(0)}_{U\bar{U}}. \tag{2.27}$$

Exactly, when T is one of the fixed points of the modular group $SL(2, Z)$, f_{UUU} vanishes. The presence of the logarithmic singulariry in the one loop corrections to f gives rise to the generation of the discrete shifts in the theta angles due to monodromies around semi-classical singularities in the quantum moduli space where previously massive states become massless [1, 27, 54].

The one loop prepotential f

The one-loop contribution to the Kähler metric can be calculated through an equation different than eqn.(2.11). Expanding (2.10) appropriately, we get

$$2i\mathcal{I} = \partial_T \partial_U f(T, U) - \frac{2}{T - \bar{T}} f(T, U) - \frac{2}{U - \bar{U}} f(T, U) + \frac{4}{(T - \bar{T})(U - \bar{U})} f(T, U) + h.c \tag{2.28}$$

or

$$2i\mathcal{I} = \frac{4}{(T - \bar{T})(U - \bar{U})}(f + \bar{f}) + \dots \tag{2.29}$$

Acting with the appropriate derivatives on the left hand of (2.28) the following identity holds:

$$(2f) = i(T - \bar{T})^2 (U - \bar{U})^2 \partial_{\bar{U}} \partial_{\bar{T}} (\mathcal{I})_{holomorphic}, \tag{2.30}$$

or in the symmetric form

$$(2f) = i(T - \bar{T})^2 (U - \bar{U})^2 \partial_{\bar{T}} \partial_{\bar{U}} (\mathcal{I})_{holomorphic}. \tag{2.31}$$

Note that on the right hand side we kept only the holomorphic part of the one loop Kähler metric. Explicitly,

$$(2)(f + h.c) = i(T - \bar{T})^2 (U - \bar{U})^2 \partial_{\bar{U}} \partial_{\bar{T}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^2} \partial_{\bar{\tau}} (\tau_2 Z) \bar{F}(\bar{\tau}). \tag{2.32}$$

Eqn's (2.30, 2.31, 2.32) are equivalent and represent the *master* equation for the prepotential. Each one of them can calculate the one loop prepotential of any, rank four, four dimensional $N = 2$ heterotic string compactifications. As we can observe, the one loop

[5]From the symmetry enhancement point of view for the $SL(2, Z)$ modular group of the two torus.

correction to the holomorphic prepotential comes by taking derivatives of $\mathcal{I}$ with respect to the conjugate moduli variables from which the holomorphic prepotential does not have any dependence. The holomorphic prepotential is defined projectively, by taking the action of the conjugate moduli derivatives on the holomorphic part of the one loop Kähler metric integral $\mathcal{I}$. In this way, we *always produce* the differential equation for the f function from the string amplitude. In addition, the solution of this equation calculates the one loop correction to the Kähler metric.

The integral representation of (2.30), after using the explicit form of momenta (2.9), is

$$f + h.c = -4\pi(T-\bar{T})(U-\bar{U})\int \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^2}\bar{F}(\bar{\tau})\partial_{\bar{\tau}}[\tau_2^2\partial_{\bar{\tau}}(\tau_2 \sum_{P_L,P_R} \bar{P}_L\bar{P}_L e^{i\pi\tau|P_L|^2}e^{-i\pi\bar{\tau}|P_R|^2})], \quad (2.33)$$

where we have used the identity

$$\partial_{\bar{T}}\partial_{\bar{U}}Z = -\frac{4i\pi\tau_2}{(T-\bar{T})(U-\bar{U})}\partial_{\bar{\tau}}(\tau_2 \sum_{P_L,P_R} \bar{P}_L\bar{P}_L Z) \quad (2.34)$$

and the relations

$$\partial_{\bar{T}}\bar{P}_L^2 = \frac{\bar{P}_L P_R}{T-\bar{T}} = \partial_{\bar{T}}\bar{P}_R^2. \quad (2.35)$$

We can easily see that the one loop prepotential has the correct modular properties, it transforms with modular weight 4 in T and -2 in U. Eqn.(2.30) is the differential equation that the one loop prepotential satisfies. The solution of this equation determines the one loop correction to the Kähler metric and the Kähler potential for $N = 2$ orbifold compactifications of the heterotic string.

Compactifications of the heterotic string on $K_3 \times T_2$, appears to have the same moduli dependence on T and U moduli, for particular classes of models[28, 21, 32, 31, 43]. Formally, the same routine procedure, namely *taking the derivatives with respect to the conjugate T and U moduli on* $\mathcal{I}$, can be applied to any heterotic string amplitude between two moduli scalars and antisymmetric tensor, in order to isolate from the general solution (2.30) the term $f(T,U)$. The solutions for f_{TTT} in eqn.(2.13) and f_{UUU} in eqn.(2.25), were derived, for $N = 2$ compactification of the heterotic strings in [28] and in this work respectively, via the modular properties of the one loop prepotential coming from the study of their integral representations. Specific application for the model based on the orbifold limit of K_3, namely T^4/Z_2, equivalent to the $SU(2)$ instanton embedding $(24, 0)$, was given in [18].

At the orbifold limit of K_3 compactification of the heterotic string the Narain lattice was decomposed into the form $\Gamma^{22,6} = \Gamma^{2,2} \oplus \Gamma^{4,4} \oplus \Gamma^{16,0}$. It was modded by a Z_2 twist on the T^4 part together with a Z_2 shift δ on the $\Gamma^{(2,2)}$ lattice. For reasons of level matching δ^2 was chosen to be 1/2. The unbroken gauge group for this model is $E_8 \times E_7 \times U(1)^2 \times U(1)^2$. By an explicit string loop calculation via the one loop gauge couplings the authors of [18], from where the one loop prepotential was extracted with an ansatz, were able to calculate the third derivative of the prepotential. The latter result was found to agree with the corresponding calculation in [27, 28], which was calculated for the S-T-U subspace of the vector multiplets of the orbifold compactification of the heterotic string. Here, we will check this result. In particular, we will confirm the moduli dependence of the prepotential f on the trilogarithm, for the case of $SU(2)$ instanton embeddings [38, 8] $(12, 12)$, $(10, 14)$, $(11, 13)$ and $(24, 0)$ for which the index in the Ramond sector takes the same value, by direct calculation of f from its master equation (2.30).

In reality, $\bar{F}(\bar{\tau})$ is the trace of $F'(-1)^{F'} q^{L_o - \frac{c}{24}} \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_o - \frac{c}{24}} / \eta(\bar{\tau})^2$ over the Ramond sector boundary conditions of the remaining superconformal blocks. For the S-T-U model with instanton embedding $(d_1, d_2) = (0, 24)$ their supersymmetric index was calculated in [18] in the form

$$Index = \frac{1}{\eta^2} Tr_R F'(-1)^{F'} q^{L_o - \frac{c}{24}} \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_o - \frac{c}{24}} \; = \; -2i \frac{\bar{E}_4 \, \bar{E}_6}{\bar{\Delta}}, \quad \frac{\bar{E}_4 \bar{E}_6}{\bar{\Delta}} = \sum_{n \geq -1} c_1(n) \bar{q}^n. \qquad (2.36)$$

where F' is the right moving fermion number. This is exactly, the value of our index in eqn.(2.4) except for our normalization factor of $1/(2\pi)^2$ which accounts for the linear representation for the dilaton. Expanding $\mathcal{I}$ we get that

$$\mathcal{I} = (-i\pi) \int \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2} (p_R^2 - \frac{1}{2\pi\tau_2}) \bar{F}(\bar{\tau}). \qquad (2.37)$$

We remind here, a general remark, that the index $\bar{F}$ was determined using, the theory of modular forms, its modular properties and singularity structure alone.

Comments on the modular integral calculation

Let us apply eqn.(2.32) for the calculation of prepotential in the S-T-U model. Remember that the prepotential for this model was calculated [18] from an ansatz solution. The index for this model is independent[43] of the particular instanton embedding (n_1, n_2) in the two

E_8 factors and is equal to (2.36). We set

$$\frac{E_4E_6}{\triangle}(\bar{\tau}) = \sum_{n\geq -1} c(n)q^n = c(-1)q^{-1} + c(0) + \dots \tag{2.38}$$

The $\mathcal{I}$ integral in eqn.(2.30) has been discussed before in [8]. Using the values of the momenta (2.9) in (2.30) and using Poisson resummation we get

$$\mathcal{I} = (i\pi)T_2^2 \int \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^4} \sum_{n_1,n_2,l_1,l_2} Q_R\bar{Q}_R e^{-2\pi i\bar{T}detA} e^{\frac{-\pi T_2}{\tau_2 U_2}|n_1\tau+n_2U\tau-Ul_1+l_2|^2} \bar{F}(\bar{\tau}) \tag{2.39}$$

where

$$Q_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2T_2U_2}}(n_2\bar{U}\tau + n_1\tau - \bar{U}l_1 + l_2), \quad \bar{Q}_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2T_2U_2}}(n_2U\tau + n_1\tau - Ul_1 + l_2). \tag{2.40}$$

The integral (2.39) can be calculated using the method of decomposition into modular orbits [9, 17] of $PSL(2,Z)$. There are three contributions to the modular integral. The zero orbit $A = 0$, the degenerate orbit and the non-degenerate orbit. The zero orbit $A = 0$ gives no contribution to the $\mathcal{I}$ integral. The next orbit that we will examine is the non-degenerate orbit for which the matrix representative is

$$A = \pm \begin{pmatrix} k & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}, \;\; 0 \leq j < k, \;\; p \neq 0 \tag{2.41}$$

This integral has been calculated in [8] and it is given[6] by

$$\mathcal{I} = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)} \sum_{\substack{k>0 \\ l\in Z}} \sum_{p>0} \delta_{n,kl}\left(\frac{2kl}{p} + \frac{l}{\pi T_2 p^2} + \frac{k}{\pi U_2 p^2} + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 T_2 U_2 p^3}\right)x^p + h.c, \tag{2.42}$$

where $x \stackrel{def}{=} e^{2\pi i(kT+lU)}$ and $\bar{x} \stackrel{def}{=} e^{-2\pi i(k\bar{T}+l\bar{U})}$. Substituting eqn.(2.42) in the *master equation* for the prepotential (2.30) we get that the contribution of the orbit $\mathcal{I}_1$ in f is

$$f|_{non-degenerate} = (2i)\left(\frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{(k,l)>0} c(kl)\mathcal{L}i_3[e^{2\pi i(kT+lU)}]\right). \tag{2.43}$$

This is exactly the moduli dependence on the trilogarithm found indirectly in [18]. The dependence of the solution in i, out of the parenthesis in (2.43) is necessary since it is used

[6] After proper incorporation of the normalization factors of our Ramond index.

to cancel the overall dependence on i in the one loop Kähler metric (2.8). Note that in the previous equation we have not considered the complex conjugate solutions which arise by taking the partial derivatives with respect to the $\bar{T}$ and $\bar{U}$ variables in the complex conjugate part of the solution of eqn.(2.42). There are two ways to see this. One is the mathematical point of view while the other clearly come from physical requirements. The physical point is that the prepotential has to be a holomorphic function of the vector moduli variables. On the other hand, the integral $\mathcal{I}$, which comes as a solution of the one loop Kähler metric in eqn.(2.8), includes the complex conjugate part of the action of the two covariant derivatives on the prepotential f. However, the solution for the prepotential as was defined here in eqn.(2.30) comes from the general solution of the Kähler metric which does not include the conjugate part of its solution. Results of the integration coming from the degenerate orbit and related matters will appeal elsewhere.

3. One loop prepotential - perturbative aspects

We will now discuss the calculation of perturbative corrections to the one loop prepotential using the theory of modular forms. We are interested on those heterotic strings which exhibit modular groups similar to those appearing in the calculation of thresholds corrections in non-decomposable [7] $N = 1$ symmetric orbifolds [6]. Let us expand at the moment the expression of eqn.(2.5) around small values of the non moduli scalars C_a

$$F = -S(TU - \sum_i \phi^i \phi^i) + h(T, U, \phi^i), \tag{3.1}$$

or

$$F = -d_{sij} T^i T^j S + h(T, U, \phi^i), \;\; d_{sij} = diag(+, -, \ldots, -)$$
$$T^1 = T, \; T^2 = U, \; T^i = \phi^i, \; i \neq 1, 2. \tag{3.2}$$

The function h, the one loop correction to the perturbative prepotential, enjoy a non-renormalization theorem, namely it receives perturbative corrections only up to one loop order. Its higher loop corrections, in terms of the $1/(S + \bar{S})$, vanish due to the surviving of the discrete Peccei-Quinn symmetry to all orders of perturbation theory as a quantum

symmetry. In that case, under target space duality

$$T \overset{SL(2,Z)_T}{\to} \frac{aT-ib}{icT+d}, \;\; U \overset{SL(2,Z)_T}{\to} U, \tag{3.3}$$

we get

$$h(T,U) \overset{SL(2,Z)_T}{\to} \frac{h(T,U)+\Xi(T,U)}{(icT+d)^2} \tag{3.4}$$

and a similar set of transformations under $PSL(2,Z)_U$. The net result is that $\partial_T^3 h^{(1)}(T,U)$ is a singled valued function of weight -2 under U-duality and 4 under T-duality. The prepotential h modifies the Kähler potential, in the lowest order of expansion in the matter fields, as

$$K = -\log[(S+\bar{S})+V_{GS}] - \log(T+\bar{T})^2 - \log(U+\bar{U})^2, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$V_{GS} = \frac{2(h+\bar{h}) - (T+\bar{T})(\partial_T h + \partial_{\bar{T}}\bar{h}) - (U+\bar{U})(\partial_U h + \partial_{\bar{U}}\bar{h})}{(T+\bar{T})(U+\bar{U})} \tag{3.6}$$

is the Green-Schwarz term [55] which contains[7] the mixing of the dilaton with the moduli at one loop order. Remember, that for the conventions used at this section the dilaton is defined as $< S >= 4\pi/g^2 - i\theta/2\pi$.

The prepotential for $N = 2$ orbifold compactifications of the heterotic string [29, 50, 7]. was calculated, from the use of its modular properties and singularity structure in [27]. Here, we adopt a similar approach to calculate the prepotential of vector multiplets. We discuss the calculation of the prepotential for the case where the moduli subspace of the Narain lattice associated with the T, U moduli exhibits a modular symmetry [6, 15, 16] group $\Gamma^o(3)_T \times \Gamma^o(3)_U$. The same modular symmetry group appears[6] in the $N = 2$ sector of the $N = 1$ $(2,2)$ symmetric non-decomposable Z_6 orbifold defined on the lattice $SU(3)\times SO(8)$. In the third complex plane associated with the square of the complex twist $(2,1,-3)/6$ the mass operator for the untwisted subspace was given[8] to be

$$m^2 = \sum_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2 \in Z} \frac{1}{2T_2U_2}|TU'n_2 + Tn_1 - U'm_1 + 3m_2|^2, \quad U' = U+2. \tag{3.7}$$

[7] In the previous section, we have seen practically the direct calculation of the V_{GS} via the calculation of h. The latter appeared as f. However, our notation will be different following the spirit of [27] and f will be denoted by h.

[8] We changed notation. All moduli are rescaled by i.

Let us forget the $N = 1$ orbifold nature of the appearance of this $N = 2$ sector. Then its low energy supergravity theory is described by the underlying special geometry. The question now is if the prepotential, by calculating it using its modular properties and the singularity structure alone, as this was calculated for decomposable[9] orbifold compactifications of the heterotic string[27], has any type II dual realization. We believe that is the case. In the analysis of the map between type II and heterotic dual supersymmetric string theories[49, 32] it was shown that subgroups of the modular group do appear. In particular some type II compactified on the Calabi-Yau three folds [21], were shown [32] to correspond in one modulus deformations of K_3 fibrations. The modular symmetry groups appearing [49] are all connected to the $\Gamma_o(N)_+$, the subgroup of the $PSL(2, Z)$, the $\Gamma_o(N)$ group together with the Atkin-lehner involutions $T \to \frac{-1}{NT}$. We expect that the same prepotential, beyond describing the geometry of the $N = 2$ sector of Z_6 in exact analogy to the decomposable case, may come form a compactification of the heterotic string on the $K_3 \times T^2$. An argument that seems to give some support to our conjecture was given in [36]. It was noted by Vafa and Witten that if we compactify a ten dimensional string theory on $T^2 \times X$, where X any four manifold, acting with a Z_2 shift on the Narain lattice we get the modular symmetry group $\Gamma_o(2)_T \times \Gamma_o(2)_U$. In this respect it is obvious that our calculation of the prepotential may come from a shift in a certain Narain lattice of T^2. We suspect that this is a Z_3 shift. Furthermore, if we adopt N=2 conventions [41] in the study of dyon spectrum of $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills, the quantum symmetry groups $\Gamma_o(2)$, $\Gamma^o(2)$ appear in $N_f = 0, 2$ respectively with corresponding monodromy groups $\Gamma^o(4)$, $\Gamma_o(4)$.

From the mass operator (3.7) we deduce that at the point $T = U$ in the moduli space of the T^2 torus of the untwisted plane, with $n_1 = m_1 = \pm 1$ and $n_2 = m_2 = 0$, its $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry becomes enhanced to $SU(2) \times U(1)$. Moreover, the third derivative of the prepotential, with respect to the T variable, has to transform, in analogy to the $SL(2, Z)$ case, with modular weights -2 under $\Gamma^o(3)_U$ and 4 under $\Gamma^o(3)_T$ dualities. Using the theory of modular forms requires, for the calculation of the vector multiplet prepotential of the effective N=2 low energy theory of heterotic strings, the analog of $SL(2, Z)$ j-invariant, for $\Gamma^o(3)$, the Hauptmodul function. This quantity is given by $\omega(T)$, where $\omega(T)$ is given

[9]We use this term in connection with the same type of modular symmetries appearing in $N = 1$ decomposable $(2, 2)$ symmetric orbifold compactifications of the heterotic string [10].

explicitly by

$$\omega(T) = (\frac{\eta(T/3)}{\eta(T)})^{12} \tag{3.8}$$

and represents the Hauptmodul for $\Gamma^0(3)$, the analogue of j invariant for $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$. It is obviously automorphic under $\Gamma^0(3)$ and possess[10] a double pole at infinity and a double zero at zero. It is holomorphic [57] in the upper complex plane and at the points zero and infinity has the expansions

$$\omega(T) = t_\infty^{-1}\sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} a_\lambda t_\infty^\lambda \, , a_o \neq 0, \;\; \omega(T) = t_o^{-1}\sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} b_\lambda t_o^\lambda \, , b_o \neq 0 \tag{3.9}$$

at ∞ and 0 respectively with $t = e^{-2\pi T}$. In full generality, the Hauptmodul functions for the $\Gamma^0(p)$ are the functions[13, 14]

$$\Phi(\tau) = \left(\frac{\eta(\frac{\tau}{p})}{\eta(\tau)}\right)^r . \tag{3.10}$$

Here, $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$ or 13 and $r = 24/(p-1)$. For these values of p the function in eqn.(3.10) remains modular invariant, i.e it is a modular function[11]. The function $\omega(T)$ has a single zero at zero and a single pole at infinity. In addition, its first derivative has a first order zero at zero, a pole at infinity and a first order zero at $i\sqrt{3}$. The modular form F of weight k of a given subgroup of the modular group $PSL(2,Z) = SL(2,Z)/Z_2$ is calculated from the formula

$$\sum_{p\neq 0,\infty} \nu_p + \sum_{p=0,\infty} (width) \times (order\ of\ the\ point) = \frac{\mu k}{12}. \tag{3.12}$$

Here, ν_p the order of the function F, the lowest power in the Laurent expansion of F at p. The index μ for $\Gamma^o(3)$ is calculated from the expression[57]

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma_o(N)] = N\Pi_{p/N}\,(1 + p^{-1}) \tag{3.13}$$

equal to four. The width at infinity is defined as the smallest integer such as the transformation $z \to (z + \alpha)$ is in the group, where $\alpha \in Z$. The width at zero is coming by

[10] We would lile to thank D. Zagier for pointing this to us.

[11] The hauptmodul functions for the group $\Gamma_0(p)$ are represented by

$$(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(p\tau)})^r. \tag{3.11}$$

properly transforming the width at infinity at zero. For $\Gamma^o(3)$ the width at infinity is 3 and the width at zero is 1. The holomorphic prepotential can be calculated easily if we examine its seventh derivative. The seventh derivative has modular weight 12 in T and 4 in U. In addition, it has a sixth order pole at the $T = U$ point whose coefficient A has to be fixed in order to produce the logarithmic singularity of the one loop prepotential. As it was shown [28, 27] the one loop prepotential as T approaches $U_g = \frac{aU+b}{cU+d}$, where g is an $SL(2, Z)$ element[12]

$$f \propto -\frac{i}{\pi}\{(cU+d)T - (aU+b)\}^2 \ln(T - U_g). \tag{3.14}$$

The seventh derivative of the prepotential is calculated to be

$$f_{TTTTTTT} = A\frac{\omega(U)_U^3\omega(U)^5(\omega'(U))^3}{(\omega(U)-\omega(\sqrt{3}))^2(\omega(U)-\omega(T))^6}X(T), \tag{3.15}$$

where $X(T)$ a meromorphic modular form with modular weight 12 in T. The complete form of the prepotential is

$$f_{TTTTTTT} = A\left(\frac{[\omega(U)_U^3\omega(U)^5(\omega'(U))^3}{(\omega(U)-\omega(\sqrt{3}))^2[(\omega(U)-\omega(T))^6]}\right)\left(\frac{\omega(T)_T^6}{\omega^2(T)\{(\omega(T)-\omega(\sqrt{3}))^4\}}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

The two groups $\Gamma^o(3)$ and $\Gamma_o(3)$ are conjugate to each other. If S is the generator

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad we\ have\ \Gamma^o(3) = S^{-1}\Gamma_o(3)S. \tag{3.17}$$

So any statement about modular functions on one group is a statement about the other. We have just to replace everywhere $\omega(z)$ by $\omega(3z)$ to go from a modular function from the $\Gamma^o(3)$ to the $\Gamma_o(3)$. In other words, the results for the heterotic prepotential with modular symmetry group $\Gamma^o(3)$ may well be describe the prepotential to the conjugate modular theory.

We have calculated the prepotential of a heterotic string with a $\Gamma^o(3)_T \times \Gamma^o(3)_U \times Z_2^{T\leftrightarrow U}$ classical duality group. The same dependence on the T, U moduli and its modular symmetry group appears in the Θ^2, $N = 2$, sector of the Z_6 orbifold defined by the action of the complex twist $\Theta = exp[\frac{2\pi i}{6}(2, 3, -1)]$ on the six dimensional lattice $SU(3) \times SO(8)$, namely the Z_6-IIb, and on toroidal compactifications of orbifold limits of K_3 in four dimensions.

[12]The same argument works for the subgroups of the modular group, but now there are additional restrictions on the parameters of the modular transformations.

4. Application to rank three $N = 2$ heterotic string compactifications

One important aspect of the expected duality is that the vector moduli space of the heterotic string must coincide at the non-perturbative level with the tree level exact vector moduli space of the type IIA theory. For the type IIA superstring compactified on a Calabi-Yau space X the internal $(2,2)$ moduli space has $N = 2$ world-sheet supersymmetry for the left and the right movers and is described, at the large complex structure limit of X, by the Kähler moduli, namely $B + iJ \in H^2(X, C)$, where $B + iJ = \sum_{i=1}^{h(1,1)} (B + iJ)_a e_a$ with B_a, J_a real numbers and $t_a = (B + iJ)_a$ representing the special coordinates and e_a a basis of $H^2(X, C)$.

In this section we will derive the general form of the equation determining the prepotential for the rank three $N = 2$ heterotic compactifications. In particular we will examine a type II model admitting a heterotic perturbative dual realization. The heterotic model contains three moduli the dilaton S, the graviphoton, and the T moduli. It coincides with the corresponding Calabi-Yau dual model at its weak coupling limit. In order for the heterotic prepotential to match its Calabi-Yau dual at its weak coupling limit a number of conditions are necessary, which we will briefly review them here. The existence of a type II dual to the weak coupling phase of the heterotic string is exactly the existence of the conditions [37]

$$D_{sss} = 0, \quad D_{ssi} = 0 \; for \; every \; i, \; , \tag{4.1}$$

where D the Calabi-Yau divisors. An additional condition originates from the higher derivative gravitational couplings of the heterotic vector multiplets and the Weyl multiplet of conformal $N = 2$ supergravity. Such terms appear as well in the effective action of type II vacua and they have to match with heterotic one's due to duality. In the large radius limit from the examination of the higher derivative couplings we can infer the result that

$$D_a \cdot c_2(X) = 24. \tag{4.2}$$

The last condition represents [37] the mathematical fact that the Calabi-Yau threefold X admits a fibration Φ such as there is a map $X \to W$, with the base being P^1 and generic fiber the K_3 surface. Furthermore, the area of the base of the fibration gives the

heterotic four dimensional dilaton. In [32] it was noticed that the nature of type II-heterotic sting duality test has to come from the K_3 fiber structure over P^1 of the type IIA side. Confirmation of duality between dual pairs is then equivalent to the identification [19]

$$\mathcal{F}_{IIA} = \mathcal{F}_{IIA}(t^s, t^i) + \mathcal{F}_{IIA}(t^i) = \mathcal{F}^o_{het}(S, \phi^I) + \mathcal{F}^{(1)}_{het}(\phi^I). \tag{4.3}$$

Here, we have expand the prepotential of the type IIA in its large radius limit, namely large t_s. In the heterotic side, we have the tree level classical contribution as a function of the dilaton S and the vector multiplet moduli ϕ^I, in addition to the one loop correction as a function of only the ϕ^I. Several tests between dual models, using the indirect calculation of the prepotential in [18] have been performed in [46, 47, 48].

Dual pairs for which the prepotential in the type IIA theory is known can be mapped to the type IIB using mirror symmetry [39]. In Calabi-Yau manifolds, special geometry is associated with the description of their moduli spaces. In type IIB, the $H^{2,1}$ cohomology describes the deformation of the complex structure of the Calabi-Yau space $\mathcal{M}$. Let us consider the Calabi-Yau three fold defined as the zero locus of the hypersurface $P^4_{1,1,2,2,2}$ of degree eight. This model appears in the list of [21] as the A model and it is defined as $X_8(1,1,2,2,2)^{-86}_2$, where the subscripts and superscripts denote the Betti numbers $b_{1,1} = 2$ and $b_{1,2} = 86$. This model gives rise to 2 vector multiplets and 86 + 1 hypermultiplets including the dilaton and its moduli space can be studied using mirror symmetry[39, 35]. The mirror manifold X^*_8 for this model is defined by the Calabi-Yau three fold in the form $\{\mathcal{P} = 0\}/Z^3_4$, where the zero locus is

$$\mathcal{P} = z_1^8 + z_2^8 + z_3^4 + z_4^4 + z_5^4 - 8\psi z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 z_5 - 2\phi z_1^4 z_2^4. \tag{4.4}$$

It depends on the deformation parameters ϕ and ψ. The Z^3_4 symmetry acts on the coordinates as $(z_2, z_{2+m}) \to (-iz_2, iz_{2+m})$ for $m = 1, 2, 3$, respectively. A good description of the moduli space is obtained by enlarging the group $\{\mathcal{P} = 0\}/Z^3_4$ to the group $\hat{G}$ acting as

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5; \psi, \phi) \to (\omega^{\tilde{a}_1} z_1, \omega^{\tilde{a}_2} z_2, \omega^{2\tilde{a}_3} z_3, \omega^{2\tilde{a}_4} z_4, \omega^{2\tilde{a}_5} z_5; \omega^{-\tilde{a}}\psi, \omega^{-4\tilde{a}}\phi), \tag{4.5}$$

where $\tilde{a} = e^{2\pi i/8}$, $\tilde{a}_i$ are integers such as $\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}_1 + \tilde{a}_2 + 2\tilde{a}_3 + \tilde{a}_4 + \tilde{a}_5$. Modding the weighted projective spaces by the group $\hat{G}$ requires modding out by the action $(\phi, \psi) \to (-\phi, \tilde{\alpha}\psi)$. The prepotential of the type IIB model defined on the mirror manifold X^*_8 was calculated

in [51] form the study of the Yukawa couplings in [4, 33, 34] as

$$\mathcal{F}^{II} = -2t_1^2 t_2 - \frac{4}{3}t_1^3 + \ldots + f^{NP}. \tag{4.6}$$

From the form of the prepotential we can infer that the type II model has a heterotic dual which corresponds to the particular identification of t_2 with the heterotic dilaton and t_1 with the heterotic T moduli. As a result

$$f^{heterotic} = -2ST^2 + f(T) + f^{non-pertur}, \tag{4.7}$$

where $f(T)$ the one loop correction and $f^{non-pertur}$ the non-perturbative contributions. The heterotic model is an S-T model, a two moduli example or rank three model, if someone takes into account the graviphoton. The exact correspondence of $P^4_{1,1,2,2,2}$ with the three rank heterotic model is their connection via their classical T-duality group. The two models at the weak coupling limit of the t_2 moduli have the same classical duality group, $\Gamma_o(2)_+$. Study of the discriminant of $P^4_{1,1,2,2,2}$ gives that the conifold singularity should correspond to the perturbative $SU(2)$ enhanced symmetry point. This fixes the momenta for the $\Gamma^{(2,1)}$ compactification lattice of the heterotic model as

$$p_L = \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{T-\bar{T}}\left(n_1 + n_2\bar{T}^2 + 2m\bar{T}\right), \;\; p_R = \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{T-\bar{T}}\left(n_1 + n_2 T\bar{T} + m(T+\bar{T})\right). \tag{4.8}$$

with the enhanced symmetry point at level 2. Let me discuss first the *master* equation for the general rank three model, as well the dual heterotic of $P^4_{1,1,2,2,2}$. The solution for the one loop correction to the Kähler metric reads [51]

$$K_{T\bar{T}} = K^{(o)}_{T\bar{T}}\{1 + \frac{2i}{S-\bar{S}}\mathcal{I} + \ldots\}. \tag{4.9}$$

Using now the general form of solution for the Kähler metric

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{i}{8}\left(\partial_T - \frac{2}{T-\bar{T}}\right)\left(\partial_T - \frac{4}{T-\bar{T}}\right) f(T) + h.c, \tag{4.10}$$

we can infer the *master* equation for the perturbative one loop correction to the prepotential as

$$2if(T) + h.c = (T-\bar{T})^3\partial_{\bar{T}}\mathcal{I} \tag{4.11}$$

or in alternative form

$$2if(T) = (T-\bar{T})^3\partial_{\bar{T}}(\mathcal{I})_{holomorphic}. \tag{4.12}$$

Here $K^{(o)}_{T\bar{T}}$ is the tree level metric $-2/(T-\bar{T})^2$ and $\bar{C}_l(\bar{\tau})$ is the index of the Ramond sector in the remaining superconformal blocks. Note that eqn.(4.11) was derived from the general solution for the one loop Kähler metric without any reference to values of momenta for the $\Gamma^{(2,1)}$. This means that this equation determines the prepotential for any rank three $N = 2$ compactification of the heterotic string. For example (4.11) determines the heterotic duals of the models B, C in [32], with associated modular groups $\Gamma_o(3)_+$, $\Gamma_o(4)_+$ and enhanced symmetry points at, the fixed points of their associated modular groups, Kac-Moody levels 3 and 4 respectively [59].

Remember that the one loop Kähler metric [5, 51] for the heterotic model dual to the type $P^4_{1,1,2,2,2}$ model reads

$$\mathcal{I} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \int \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^{3/2}} \bar{C}_l(\bar{\tau}) \partial_{\bar{\tau}} (\tau_2^{1/2} \sum_{p_L, p_R \in \Gamma_l} e^{\pi i \tau |p_L|^2} e^{-\pi i \bar{\tau} p_R^2}). \tag{4.13}$$

Using the above, direct substitution of the values of the $\Gamma^{(2,1)}$ compactification lattice momenta in (4.13) may give us the prepotential f in its integral representation. Here the sum is over [51] the different lattice sectors Γ_l, $m \in Z + \epsilon$, that are needed due to world-sheet modular invariance.

5. Conclusions

We have calculated the general equation which calculates directly the one loop perturbative prepotential of $N = 2$ heterotic string compactifications for any rank three or rank four parameter models in eqn's (2.31, 2.32) and (4.11, 4.12) respectively. These heterotic string compactifications may or may not have a type II dual compactified on a Calabi-Yau. In general, heterotic vacua with instanton embeddings numbers $(12-n, 12+n)$ on the $E_8 \times E_8$ gauge bundle are associated [23, 38] to the elliptic fibrations over the Hirzebrush surfaces F_n. Especially, for the families of Calabi-Yau threefolds with Hodge numbers $(3, 243)$, associated with K_3 fibrations and elliptic fibrations, when n is even the rank four Calabi-Yau is an elliptic fibration over the Hirzebrush surface F_2 or F_0, while for n odd the rank four models are given in terms of the Hirzebrush surface F_1. At the heterotic perturbative level all the models, which are coming from complete Higgising of the charged hypermultiplets, with the same Hodge numbers, come from the instanton embeddings $(12, 12)$, $(11, 13)$,

$(10, 14)$. However, at the heterotic perturbative level all the models are the same as we have already said. This is clearly seen from the nature of the Ramond index (2.4) which is independent from the particular instanton embedding. In particular, we tested the moduli dependence of the prepotential, coming from the non-degenerate orbit, for the previous $SU(2)$ instanton embeddings, and the $(24, 0)$ one, against the moduli dependence of the prepotential extracted from the one loop corrections to the gauge couplings in [18]. In addition, we calculated the differential equation of the third derivative, of the prepotential for the rank four S-T-U model with respect of the complex structure U variable, and exhibit its solution.

The *master* equation's (2.31), (4.12) open the way for direct testing of the web of dualities, e.g the duality between type I and $K_3 \times T^2$ at their weakly coupled region which was tested via the third derivatives of the one loop prepotential in [60]. However, there are other dualities which can be tested at the quantum level. For example, if we continue further compactification on S^1 of F-theory defined on the elliptic Calabi-Yau 3-fold, we get duality between M-theory [25] on the associated Calabi-Yau three fold and heterotic strings on $K_3 \times S^1$. Further compactifying on S^1, we get duality between type IIA on Calabi-Yau three folds and heterotic on $K_3 \times T^2$. Furthermore, the direct way of calculating the holomorphic prepotential in (2.30), (4.11) can calculate the $N = 2$ central charge and $N = 2$ BPS spectrum as well the black hole entropy [61, 62].

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to I. Antoniadis, C. Kounnas, K. S. Narain, S. Stieberger, D. Zagier and R. Lewes for useful conversations and D. Bailin for reading the manuscript.

Appendix A

A1 *Useful relations with modular forms*

The functions E_4, E_6 form the basis of modular forms for the group $SL(2, Z)$ and are defined in term of Eisenstein series of weight four and six. Namely,

$$E_{2k}(T) = \sum_{n_1, n_2 \in Z}{}' (in_1 T + n_2)^{-2k}, \;\; k \in Z. \tag{A.1}$$

Here the prime means that $n_1 \neq 0$ if $n_2 = 0$. Let us provide some useful relations between the basis for modular forms for $PSL(2, Z)$ and $\triangle$ and the j invariant. With the use of

these relations various results appeared in the literature, like those in [27], [28] can be easily translated to each other. It can be proved, using the singularity stucture of the modular forms, that the following relations hold

$$E_4(T) = -\frac{(j')^2}{4\pi^2 j(j-j(i))} = 1 + 240\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_3(n)e^{2\pi iT}, \tag{A.2}$$

$$E_6(T) = \frac{(j')^3}{(2\pi i)^3 j^2(j-j(i))} = 1 - 504\sigma_5(n)q^n, \tag{A.3}$$

$$\triangle(T) = -\frac{1728(j')^6}{(48\pi^2)^3 j^4(j-j(i))^3} = \eta^{24}(T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^6}\frac{(j')^6}{j^4(j-j(i))^3}, \tag{A.4}$$

where $\eta(T)$ is the Dekekind function and the value of σ represents the sum over divisors

$$\sigma_{h-1}(n) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{d/n} d^{h-1}. \tag{A.5}$$

We have used the notation

$$j'(T) = j_T(T). \tag{A.6}$$

Note that in general E_4, E_6 and $\triangle$ are defined in terms of the Klein's absolute invariant J as

$$j(T) \stackrel{def}{=} 1728J(T), \tag{A.7}$$

$$J(T) = \frac{E_4^3(T)}{1728\triangle(T)} = 1 + \frac{E_6^2(T)}{1728\triangle(T)}, \quad T \in H \tag{A.8}$$

and

$$j(T) = e^{-2\pi iT} + 744 + 196884e^{2\pi iT} + \ldots \tag{A.9}$$

Remember that the following relations are valid

$$j(T) = \frac{E_4^3(T)}{\triangle(T)}, \tag{A.10}$$

and

$$j(T) = \frac{E_6^2(T)}{\triangle(T)}. \tag{A.11}$$

Here, j is the modular invariant function for the inhomogeneous modular group $PSL(2,Z)$.

References

[1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B426 (1994) 19, Erratum B430 (1994) 485; B431 (1994) 484.

[2] A. Klemm, W. Lerche, S. Theisen and S. Yankielowicz; Phys. Lett. B344 (1995) 169, P. Argyres and A. Faraggi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1995) 3931.

[3] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Com. Math. Phys. 115 (1988) 669; *On Moduli Spaces of Conformal Field Theories with* $c \geq 1$, Proceedings Copenhagen Conference,*Perspectives in String Theory*, ed. by P. Di Vecchia and J. L. Petersen, World Scientific, Singapore, 1988.

[4] P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, P. Green and L. Parkes, Phys. Lett. B258 (1991) 118; Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 21.

[5] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava and K. S. Narain and T. R. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B407 (1993) 706.

[6] P. Mayr and S. Stieberger, Nucl. Phys. B407 (1993) 725;D. Bailin, A. Love, W. A. Sabra and S. Thomas, Mod. Phys. Letters. A9 (1994) 67; A10 (1995) 337; C. Kokorelis, String Loop Threshold Corrections from Generalized Coxeter Orbifolds, SUSX-TH-98-010, to appear.

[7] J. Erler and A. Klemm, Commun. Math. Phys. 153 (1993) 579.

[8] K. Foerger and S. Stieberger, Nucl.Phys. B514 (1998) 135.

[9] L. Dixon, V. Kaplunovsky and J.Louis, Nucl. Phys. 355 (1991) 649.

[10] L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B261 (1985) 678; Nucl. Phys. B274 (1986) 285.

[11] L. E. Ibáñez, J. Mas, H. P. Nilles and F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B301 (1988) 157.

[12] P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, A. Font, S. Katz and D. R. Morrison, Nucl. Phys. B416 (1994) 481.

[13] T. M. Apostol, Modular functions and Dirichlet series, (Springer 1976).

[14] N. Koblitz, Introduction to Elliptic curves and modular forms, (Springer 1983).

[15] D. Bailin, A. Love, W. Sabra and S. Thomas; Phys. Lett. B320 (1994) 21.

[16] D. Bailin, A. Love, W. Sabra and S. Thomas, Mod.Phys. Lett. A9 (1994) 1229.

[17] E. Kiritsis and C. Kounnas, Nucl. Phys. B442 (1995) 472.

[18] J. Harvey and G. Moore, Nucl. Phys. B463 (1996) 315.

[19] J. Louis and K. Foerger, Holomorphic couplings in string theory, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 55B (1997) 33.

[20] M. A Shifman and A. I. Vainstein, Phys. Lett B 359 (1991) 571; Nucl. Phys B 277 (1986) 456;V. Kaplunovsky and J. Louis, Nucl. Phys B 444 (1995) 501.

[21] S. Kahru and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys B450 (1995) 69.

[22] S. Ferrara, J. A. Harvey, A. Strominger, C.Vafa, Phys. Lett. B361 (1995) 59.

[23] C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B469 (1996) 403.

[24] P. Aspinwall, Enhanced gauge symmetries and K_3 surfaces, Phys. Lett. B357 (1995) 329.

[25] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B443 (1995) 85.

[26] J. Harvey and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. B449 (1995) 535.

[27] B. de Wit, V. Kaplunovsky, J. Louis and D. Lust, Nucl. Phys. B451 (1995) 53.

[28] I. Antoniadis, S. Ferrara, E. Gava, S. Narain, T. R. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B447 (1995) 35.

[29] M. Walton, Phys. Rev. D37 (1987) 377.

[30] P. Candelas, P. Green and T. Hubsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 1956.

[31] G. Altazabal, A. Font, L. E. Ibanez, and F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B 461 (1996) 85

[32] A. Klemm, W. Lerche and P. Mayr, Phys. Lett. B357 (1995) 313.

[33] S. Hosono, A. Klemm, S. Theisen and S.-T.Yau, Nucl. Phys. B433 (1995) 501.

[34] S. Hosono, A. Klemm, S. Theisen and S.-T.Yau, Com. Math. Phys. 167 (1995) 301.

[35] P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, A. Font, S. Katz and D.R. Morrison, Nucl. Phys. B416, (1994) 481.

[36] C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 46 (1996) 225.

[37] P. Aspinwall and J. Louis, Phys. Lett. B369 (1996) 233; P. Aspinwall, Phys. Lett. B371 (1996) 231, Enhanced gauge symmetries and Calabi-Yau three folds.

[38] D. Morrison and C. Vafa, Nucl.Phys. B473 (1996) 74; Nucl.Phys. B476 (1996) 437.

[39] B. Greene and R. Plesser, Nucl. Phys. B338 (1990) 15.

[40] J. Erler, J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994) 1819.

[41] A. Klemm, "On the Geometry behind $N = 2$ Supersymmetric Effective actions in four dimensions", hep-th/9705131.

[42] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B286 (1986) 79.

[43] G. L. Cardoso, G. Curio and D. Lust, Nucl.Phys. B491 (1997) 147.

[44] I. Antoniadis, H. Partouche and T. R. Taylor, "Lectures on Heterotic-Type I duality", hep-th/9706211.

[45] V. Kaplunovshy, J. Louis and S. Theisen, Phys. Lett. B357 (1995) 71.

[46] G. L. Cardoso, G. Curio, D. Lust, T. Mohaupt, Instanton Numbers and Exchange Symmetries in $N = 2$ Dual String Pairs, Phys. Lett. B382 (1996) 241.

[47] T. Kawai, String duality and Modular Forms, Phys.Lett. B397 (1997) 51.

[48] G. L. Cardoso, G. Curio, D. Lust, Perturbative Couplings and Modular Forms in N=2 String Models with a Wilson Line,Nucl.Phys. B491 (1997) 147.

[49] B. H. Lian and S. T. Yau, Com. Math. Physics. 176 (1996) 163.

[50] M. Henningson and G. Moore, Nucl.Phys. B482 (1996) 187.

[51] I. Antoniadis and H. Partouche, Nucl.Phys. B460 (1996) 470.

[52] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara and M. Villasante, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A2 (1987) 1839.

[53] T. Kugo and S. Uehara, Nucl. Phys. B222 (1983) 125.

[54] N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B206 (1988)75.

[55] J. P. Derendinger, S. Ferrara, C. Kounnas and F. Zwigner, Nucl. Phys. B372 (1992) 145.

[56] E. Cremmer, C. Kounnas, A. Van Proyen, J. P. Derendinger, S. Ferrara, B. de Wit and L. Girardello, Nucl. Phys. B250 (1985) 385.

[57] B. Shoeneberg, Elliptic modular functions, (Hamburg, 1974).

[58] B. de Wit, P. Lauwers and A. Van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. B255 (1985) 569.

[59] C. Gomez, R. Hernandez and E. Lopez, Nucl. Phys. B501 (1997) 109.

[60] I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas, C. Fabre, H. Partouche and T. R. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B498 (1997) 160.

[61] K. Behrndt, G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit, R. Kallosh, D. Lust and T. Mohaupt, Nucl.Phys. B488 (1997) 236.

[62] S. J. Rey, Nucl. Phys. B508 (1997) 569.